

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		09/866,145	HAASE, RICHARD ALAN	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		CHESTER T. BARRY	1797	

All Participants:

(1) CHESTER T. BARRY.

(2) Richard Haase.

Date of Interview: 12 January 2010

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
 None

Claims discussed:
 None

Prior art documents discussed:
 None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
the Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment Mailed 1/4/2010 was mailed in error. That Notice is vacated and withdrawn. Applicant need not – and preferably will not – respond to that Notice. The Office regrets any confusion the Notice may have caused.

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Chester T. Barry/
 Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1797

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)